



Impact of Hurricane Maria on sea turtles nesting activity in Puerto Rico

Carlos E. Diez and Jessica H. Castro-Prieto

WIDECAST Annual Meeting, March 2018









September 20, 2017









New way of life







Three weeks later, the support and relief came in different ways..

















Nesting distribution of hawksbills, green and leatherback turtles in Puerto Rico during 2016.



1) Damage assessment:

Nests loss –Local project leaders were visited to collect the information on active nests before and after hurricanes, and photographs showing the condition of the beaches few days after these catastrophic events. Nest loss were estimated as the total number of active nests during the hurricanes that did not hatch, or no evidence of hatchling was found after hurricanes.

Vegetation loss and debris accumulation – Beaches were visited to calculate the percentage of the beach that lost vegetation, and the percentage of the beach occupied by storm debris using four ranges (0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, 75-100%). These data was corroborated with aerial photographs collected by NOAA after hurricane Maria (<u>https://storms.ngs.noaa.gov/storms/maria/index</u>).

Heavy equipment to remove debris from the beach–Regular visits were conducted to monitor if heavy equipment was being used in nesting beaches in accordance with the Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) regulations. In those beaches where heavy equipment was used to remove debris with the allowance of DNER, existing nests were clearly marked with large buffer areas and with highly visible tape to be easily observed by heavy equipment operators. Debris within the marked buffer of a nest were removed by hand following the DNER sea turtles management protocol. In those nesting beaches where heavy equipment was used to remove debris from DNER, the activity was immediately stopped and fines were assigned if needed.

Other parameters- Additional data was collected on the beach such as stray dogs presence, erosion, type of protection, urban area, roads parallel to the beach, and nest relative abundance (including all sea turtle species).

Methods and objectives:

1) Restoration activities:

Reforestation–Coastal vegetation will be planted in nesting beaches with highest percentages of erosion. A candidate species for planting includes the sea grape (*Cocoloba uvifera*), which is a native and fast-growing species, very common in coastal habitats of Puerto Rico. Furthermore, this is a suitable tree species used by hawksbill sea turtles in Mona Island Natural Reserve. The greenhouse of the DNER will provide the saplings that will be used for planting following the recommendations of the agency's botanist.

Debris removal–Debris will be classified as artificial (e.g., cement structures) or natural (vegetation). Artificial debris and natural debris reducing the area available for nesting will be removed from the beach using chainsaws and heavy equipment if needed. Natural debris not affecting the nesting area will be left on the beach to allow the sand to accumulate, and deaccelerate the process of erosion and promote natural reforestation (seeds germination, seedling establishment, and growth).

Mitigate light pollution and prevent access to roads–We will reduce the impact of light pollution by replacing conventional lights by wildlife-approved lights ("turtle friendly lights"), and by re-directing other sources of direct light that could be disorienting sea turtles. Wildlife-friendly lights have already been used in Puerto Rico to prevent sea turtles disorientation. We will take advantage of the many trees that have fallen down to construct a natural barrier to direct nesting and hatchling sea turtles away from landward hazards.

Remove and control stray dogs and invasive vegetation– Stray dogs will be trapped and brought to local shelters as done before, while invasive saplings will be manually removed.

Objectives and methods:

Matrix was created to prioritized beaches in need of rapid mitigation and restoration

Eleven index beaches were evaluated including all nesting species

Parameters: total number of nests, nesting relative abundance (%), nests loss, debris in %

Vegetation loss (%), stray dogs, near uban area, light pollution, beach designation, public road and access,

Beach erosion (%).

Yabucoa



Damage assessments: Preliminary results







				Total													
				nests			Nests										
		Total nests	Total nests	green		Nests relative	loss*	Nests loss*		Vegetation		Urban area	Light	Not		Erosion	
Municipality	Beach	hawksbill (#)	leatherback(#)	(#)	Grand Total	abundance (%)	(#)	(%)	Debris (%)	loss (%)	Stray Dogs (#)	(%)	pollution	Protected	Road	%	
Yabucoa	Cocal	10	121	2	133	7	3	30	0	100	0	25	25	100	0	40	

Maunabo



Damage assessments:





				Total		Nests										
		Total nests		nests		relative	Nests	Nests					Light	Not		
		hawksbill	Total nests	green	Grand	abundance	loss*	loss*	Debris	Vegetation	Stray	Urba	pollutio	Protect		Erosion
Municipality	Beach	(#)	leatherback(#)	(#)	Total	(%)	(#)	(%)	(%)	loss (%)	Dogs (#)	area (%)	n	ed	Road	%
Maunabo	California	83	180	10	273	16	50	60	10	90	1	20	25	25	25	40

Patillas





Damage assessments:









		Total		Total		Nests								h-	~~~		
		nests	Total nests	nests		relative	Nests										
		hawksbi	leatherback(green	Grand	abundance	loss*	Nests	Debris	Vegetation	Stray	Urban	Light	Not		Erosion	
Municipality	Beach	II (#)	#)	(#)	Total	(%)	(#)	loss* (%)	(%)	loss (%)	Dogs (#)	area (%)	pollution	Protected	Road	%	
	Guardarr																
Patillas	ava	119	0	1	120	6	52	44	50	90	4	100	100	100	100	11	





Damage assessments:









		Total		Total		Nests										
		nests	Total nests	nests		relative	Nests							Not		
		hawksbill	leatherback	green	Grand	abundance	loss*	Nests	Debris	Vegetation	Stray	Urban	Light	Protecte		Erosion
Municipality	Beach	(#)	(#)	(#)	Total	(%)	(#)	loss* (%)	(%)	loss (%)	Dogs (#)	area (%)	pollution	d	Road	%
	Tres															
Rincon	Palmas	13	9	0	22	1	4	31	0	50	2	75	25	100	20	50

Dorado





Damage assessments:









Culebra

Damage assessments:





					Nests										
		Total nests	Total		relative										
		leatherback	nests	Grand	abundance	Nests	Nests loss*		Vegetation	Stray Dogs	Urban	Light	Not		Erosion
Beach	Total nests hawksbill (#)	(#)	green (#)	Total	(%)	loss* (#)	(%)	Debris (%)	loss (%)	(#)	area (%)	pollution	Protected	Road	%
Culebrita	35	2	0	37	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0



Number of hawksbill nests affected by Hurricane Maria on important sea turtle nesting beaches, September 20, 2017. Total nests lost: 224 (24%). Without Mona Island: 54% nests lost of the total season.

Preliminary matrix to prioritize restoration needs for important sea turtle nesting beaches at Puerto Rico, November 2017

Beach	Nests relative abundance	Nests loss	Debris	Vegetation loss	Stray Dogs	Urban area	Light pollution	Not Protected	Road	Erosion	Priority highest number
Guardarraya	0	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	31
California	1	3	0	4	1	1	2	2	1	1	16
Cocal	0	2	0	4	0	1	2	4	0	3	16
El Unico	1	0	4	1	4	0	1	0	4	4	19
Tres Palmas	0	2	0	2	0	4	1	4	1	3	17
Reserva Humacao	1	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Culebrita	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Refugios (Vieques)	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
CEN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Tres Hermanos	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	4	0	0	10
Index (Mona)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

- Coastal development is the major threat for sea turtle nesting habitat













-Hawksbill turtles are known to be resilient to hurricanes and other natural events; but its recovery can be hampered due to habitat loss and degradation caused by anthropogenic actions











Other assessments:

Index feeding grounds and/or developmental areas for marine turtles in Puerto Rico







Nests numbers for three species of sea turtles at Puerto Rico, 2011-2017



Veer	Crean	Lloudeabill	Laatharkaal
Year	Green	Hawksbill	Leatherback
2011	79	1687	1385
2012	278	1998	1364
2013	167	2254	1401
2014	300	2334	2013
2015	152	2195	1845
2016	219	2425	2167
2017	99	1607	1187



Acknowledgments:



















Gracias!